## **MINUTES**

#### PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS COMMISSION MEETING

## Price Theater LAGRANGE COLLEGE LaGrange, Georgia

April 5, 2001

The Georgia Professional Standards Commission (PSC) met on Thursday, April 5, 2001, at 9 a.m. at Price Theater, LaGrange College, LaGrange, Georgia.

#### **Members Present**

Anne Adams	Angela Finn	Janet Scott
Phoebe Bailey	Thomas Harrison	Sue Sehgal
Vicky Cody	Willda Melton	Beth Steele
John Culbreath	Vernon Payne	Pam Thomas

Amy Denty

### **Members Absent**

Kay Cribbs Terri DeLoach Leon Hurley Debbie Lane David Wallace

#### **Visitors**

- Gail Wooten, Professional Association of Georgia Educators
- Gretchen Simpson, Georgia Association of Educators
- Stephanie Carreker, Georgia Department of Education
- Jennifer Harrison, LaGrange College
- Bob Holland, Georgia Association of Educators
- Roy Nichols, Troup County Schools
- Evelyn Jordan, LaGrange College

### I. <u>CALL TO ORDER</u>

Chairman Culbreath called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. and led the assemblage in the Pledge of Allegiance to the U.S. flag and a moment of silence.

### II. <u>RECOGNITION OF VISITORS</u>

Chairman Culbreath recognized the visitors and asked Troup County Superintendent, Roy Nichols, to address the commission regarding the current concerns and future plans of the Troup County school system.

### III. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Chairman Culbreath called for approval of the agenda.

**MOTION:** (Thomas/Payne) To adopt the agenda as presented.

**ACTION:** Approved.

## IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Culbreath called for approval of the minutes from the March 1, 2001, Commission meeting.

**MOTION:** (Payne/Thomas) To approve the minutes as written.

**ACTION:** Approved.

### V. <u>COMMITTEE REPORT</u>

#### **A. Professional Practices Review Committee**

1. The Professional Practices Review Committee brought motions to the Commission regarding this month's *Thirty-nine* (39) *Probable Cause* cases. These were as follows:

Case No.	<b>Committee Recommendation</b>
00-12-838	Monitoring for One Year (4 Quarters)
00-12-910	No Probable Cause
01-01-950	No Probable Cause
01-01-1026	Monitoring for One Year (4 Quarters)
01-01-1040	Monitoring for One Year (4 Quarters)
01-01-1063	No Probable Cause
01-02-1209	No Probable Cause
01-02-1175	Revocation
00-11-737	Extend Probable Cause Determination for Additional 30 Days
01-01-987	Warning
01-01-991	Suspension for Six Months and Monitoring for Two Years (Eight Quarters)
00-12-880	Suspension for Six Months
01-01-989	Suspension for One Year
01-01-992	Suspension for Six Months
01-01-995	No Probable Cause
01-01-1052	No Probable Cause
01-01-1053	Suspension for Six Months
01-01-1028	Suspension for Six Months
01-01-1041	No Probable Cause
01-02-1089	Suspension for One Year
01-01-1055	Revocation
01-01-981	No Probable Cause
01-01-996	Suspension for Six Months

Case Number	<b>Committee Recommendation</b>	
00-09-425	Extend Probable Cause Determination for Final 30 Days	
00-12-841	Extend Probable Cause Determination for Additional 30 Days	
01-01-1004	No Probable Cause	
01-01-1031	No Probable Cause	
01-01-1064	No Probable Cause	
01-01-1069	No Probable Cause	
01-01-1071	No Probable Cause	
01-02-1085	No Probable Cause	
01-02-1113	No Probable Cause	
01-02-1150	No Probable Cause	
01-02-1157	No Probable Cause	
01-02-1160	No Probable Cause	
01-02-1165	No Probable Cause	
01-02-1177	No Probable Cause	
01-02-1179	No Probable Cause	
01-02-1188	No Probable Cause	

<u>MOTION:</u> (Harrison/Sehgal) To accept the motions of the Professional Practices Review Committee in the first twenty-five (25) probable cause cases, and to have further discussion of the remaining fourteen cases.

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: Payne 01-02-1209

Abstentions: Culbreath 01-02-1175; 01-01-996; 01-02-1157.

After further discussion of the remaining fourteen (14) cases, the following motion was made.

**MOTION:** (Harrison/Adams) To accept the suggestions of the Professional Practices Review Committee in the last fourteen (14) probable cause cases.

**ACTION:** Approved

Opposed: None

Abstentions: Culbreath 01-02-1157.

**2.** The Professional Practices Review Committee brought motions to the Commission regarding this month's *Seven* (7) *Initial Decision* cases. These were as follows:

Case Number	ALJ's Decision	PPRC's Recommendation
00-3-1139	Revocation	Accept
99-10-564	No Probable Cause	Accept
00-5-1418	No Probable Cause	Accept
00-3-1161	No Probable Cause	Accept
99-10-510	Revocation	Accept
00-1-849	Revocation	Accept
00-2-1057	Reprimand with Monitoring for Two Years	Accept

**MOTION:** (Payne/Harrison) To accept the motions of the Professional Practices Review Committee in all seven (7) initial decision cases.

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention: None **3.** The Professional Practices Review Committee brought a suggestion to the Commission to accept all *Twelve (12) Settlement Agreements*. These were as follows:

Case Number	Settlement Agreement
00-10-515	Warning
00-11-698	Warning
00-11-717	Suspension for One Month
00-11-798	Suspension for One Year
00-11-814	Reprimand and Monitoring for One Year (Four Quarters)
00-11-824	Reprimand and Monitoring for One Year (Four Quarters)
00-12-844	Warning
00-12-909	Suspension for Six Months
01-01-925	Reprimand and Monitoring for One Year (Four Quarters)
01-01-1061	Warning
01-03-1238	Revocation
01-02-1095	Revocation

<u>MOTION:</u> (Harrison/Payne) To accept all twelve (12) settlement agreements as recommended by the Professional Practices Review Committee.

**ACTION**: Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention: None

**4.** The Professional Practices Review Committee brought a suggestion to the Commission to accept the *Nine (9)* negotiated *Settlement Agreements*. These were as follows:

<u>Case Number</u>	Settlement Agreement	
99-4-336	Revocation	
00-12-906	Warning	
00-5-1468	Warning and Monitoring for One Year	
00-8-233	Suspension for Six Months	
00-6-1579	Warning	
00-5-1514	Suspension for Four Months	
00-12-830	Reprimand and Monitoring for One Year	
00-2-1067	Reprimand	
00-5-1499	Suspension for Four Months	

<u>MOTION:</u> (Thomas/Bailey) To accept all nine negotiated settlement agreements as suggested by the Professional Practices Review Committee.

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention:

**5.** The Professional Practices Review Committee brought motions to the Commission regarding *Three* (3) *Petitions*. These were as follows:

Case Number	<u>Matter</u>	Recommendation
00-6-1555	Petition to Waive the Rule Requiring Two Years to Petition for the Right to Re-apply	Recommendation will be brought at the May meeting
98-11-590	Petition for an Early Reinstatement of Suspended Certificate	Recommendation will be brought at the May meeting
01-2-1093	Petition for extension beyond 30-days to request due process hearing	Grant

MOTION: (Bailey/Payne) To deny the request for the right to re-apply (Case No. 00-6-1555), since sufficient time has not passed to produce the evidence needed for reconsideration.

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention: None

**MOTION:** (Harrison/Thomas) To uphold the one-year suspension and to deny the petitioner's request for an early reinstatement (Case No. 98-11-590)

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: None

Abstention: 98-11-590 Culbreath, Adams, Denty.

**MOTION:** (Bailey/Payne) To accept the suggestion of the Professional Practices Review Committee to grant the request for the right to reapply (Case No. 01-2-1093).

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention: None

**6.** The Professional Practices Review Committee brought a suggestion to the Commission to grant *Extensions* on *Twenty-six* (26) cases in order to complete investigations. These cases are:

Case Number	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Case Number</u>
01-01-988	01-01-1032	01-02-1184
01-01-1056	01-01-1044	01-02-1189
01-01-986	01-02-1136	01-02-1206
01-01-993	01-02-1137	01-02-1211
01-01-1037	01-02-1139	01-02-1214
01-01-924	01-02-1145	01-02-1218
01-01-928	01-02-1148	01-02-1220
01-01-941	01-02-1151	01-03-1223
01-03-1224	01-03-1225	

<u>MOTION:</u> (Bailey/Scott) To accept the suggestion of the Professional Practices Review Committee to grant the requested extensions.

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention: None

7. The March 2001 *Preliminary Investigation Determination Report* was distributed listing the *Seventy-one* (71) cases that the Cognizant members reviewed during the month.

**MOTION:** (Harrison/Thomas) To accept the determinations of the cognizant members in all fifty-four (54) cases.

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention: None **8.** During the month of February, the Student Finance Commission forwarded notifications to the Professional Standards Commission requesting the suspension of *Three* (3) educator certificates for default in the repayment of *Student Loans*. These were as follows:

Case No.
01-02-1122
01-02-1123
01-02-1127

**MOTION:** (Sehgal/Adams) To accept the suggestion of the Professional Practices Review Committee to suspend the three certificates as requested.

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention: None

**MOTION:** (Scott/Thomas) To take no action at this time against the *Four (4)* educators listed as *Student Loan-Early Release* cases.

**ACTION:** Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention: None

**9.** The following educators received notification of the Professional Standards Commission's proposed Disciplinary sanctions. In accordance with Rule No. 505-6-.04 (1) (c), the educator's failure to request a hearing within thirty days constitutes a waiver of their right to contest the proposed sanctions. Therefore, the sanctions have become the final decisions of the Commission.

Case Number	<b>Sanction</b>	
00-9-398	Revocation	
00-9-395	Suspension for Six Months	
00-10-595	Reprimand	
00-10-631	Revocation	
00-11-695	Suspension for Six Months	
00-11-712	Reprimand and Monitoring for One Year	
00-11-723	Suspension for Six Months	
00-11-726	Suspension for Six Months	
00-11-736	Suspension for One Year	
00-11-769	Warning	
00-11-770	Suspension for Six Months	
00-11-777	Suspension for Six Months	
00-11-787	Revocation	
00-11-790	Denial	
00-11-801	Denial	
00-11-826	Reprimand	
00-8-243	Monitoring for One Year	

10. The following cases were referred to the Office of State Administrative Hearings for adjudication of the Professional Standards Commission's proposed disciplinary sanction. The Administrative Law Judge rendered an Initial Decision that was subsequently accepted by the PSC. The Initial Decision was served upon the educator. The educator's failure to request a review hearing within thirty days requires that the Initial Decision become the Final Decision of the Commission by operation of law.

<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Sanction</u>
99-10-515	Suspension for One Year
99-12-782	Suspension for One Year
99-12-789	Suspension for One Year and Monitoring for
	Two Years
99-10-512	Revocation
99-7-22	Revocation
00-3-1147	Monitoring for One Year

**11.** The March 2001 *Probable Cause Determination* for Applicants for Certification was presented with the suggestion that the Commission approve those determinations as listed.

<u>MOTION</u>: (Sehgal/Adams) To approve the Professional Practices Review Committee's suggestion in all (39) thirty-nine cases listed.

**ACTION**: Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention: None

**12.** *Judicial Review* - Case No. 99-5-429 has now gone through the appeals process, and a Superior Court judge has reduced the sanction from a six month suspension to a reprimand with a two month suspension to be done during the summer.

<u>MOTION:</u> Vice Chairman Bailey made a motion to have the commission appeal this decision to the Georgia Court of Appeals.

**ACTION:** Unanimously approved.

#### **EXECUTIVE SECRETARY REPORT**

- **A.** Dr. Toth discussed necessary increases in staff and the space that will be required to allow those employees to be productive as soon as they are hired. The space needs are already difficult with employees working in every possible place, but with the additional staffing, the space situation will become critical. Dr. Toth has requested appropriate action be taken by July 1 in order for this situation to be resolved.
- **B.** The strategic planning process for the Professional Standards Commission must be completed by June 30, and therefore, Dr. Toth asked that each commissioner be available to meet with members of the staff sometime in May or early June.
- **C.** The tenth anniversary of the Commission will be July 1, 2001. Dr. Toth hopes to have a tenth anniversary meeting in July. The location will be announced later.
- **D.** The Southern Center for International Studies, 320 West Paces Ferry Road in Atlanta will be the location of the June 7<sup>th</sup> PSC meeting. Dr. Toth thanked Dr. Sehgal for making him aware of the important work being done by the Center.

**E.** Dr. Toth asked Phil Blackwell to review the proposed rules regarding Special Education. These proposed rules have been amended to clarify requirements for adding a field and to obtain a provisional certificate. If approved by the Commission, the changes would become effective July 1, 2001. The following motion was made.

**MOTION:** (Bailey/Sehgal) To rescind the proposal made at the March meeting, to initiate the *rule-making process* for the seven special education rules. (505.2.52, 505-2-.71, 505-2-.75, 505-2-.80, 505-2-.83, 505-2-.86, 505-2-.97).

**ACTION**: Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention None

**MOTION:** (Cody/Bailey) To initiate a new *rule-making process* by formally intending to adopt (amended) special education certification rules (505.2.52, 505-2-.71, 505-2-.75, 505-2-.80, 505-2-.83, 505-2-.86, 505-2-.97).

**ACTION**: Approved.

Opposed: None Abstention None

F. Dr. Toth asked Dr. Fran Watkins to make a presentation to the Commission regarding a proposed change to the approval review process. It has been the practice of the Educator Preparation Section of the PSC when dealing with RESA and school-based endorsement programs, to have a developmental review for the purpose of approving or denying any new program. Following that initial developmental review, a more in-depth comprehensive review was to be done in three years. The Executive Secretary and staff would like to change that procedure to allow for annual performance reviews to say, "Here's what we're doing," and "Here's how well we're doing." Since we are able to collect performance data, examine it, and bring it to the commission in a format that would be useful in making decisions, it would allow us to do only an on-site continuing review at the five year mark after a program is operational. The only on-site, comprehensive (three-year) reviews would be those where the commission felt there was a concern.

<u>MOTION:</u> (Bailey/Thomas) To accept the change of approval review process for RESA and school-based endorsement programs from comprehensive reviews to annual performance reviews.

**ACTION**: Approved.

#### IX. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

Chairman Culbreath gave a short report on the current work of the Education Coordinating Council and stated that the ECC is evolving into the Board or Council of the Office of Educational Accountability. Dr. Bailey mentioned that she has been selected to work on the Professional Standards Commission Report Card.

## X. OTHER BUSINESS

None.

# XI. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: (Payne/Sehgal) To adjourn the meeting.
ACTION: The meeting was adjourned at 11:50 a. m.